

Less Waste, Better Baltimore Rethinking our waste management future

# Update on the LWBB Plan

21 November 2019







- 1. Review scope and progress on LWBB Plan
- 2. Review of recycling and diversion options
  - a. Basis and methodology
  - **b.** Options analysis
- 3. Summary of findings



Master planning effort through 2040+

Identify programs that could be implemented by DPW to:

- Reduce the amount of waste generated
- Maximize materials diversion, reuse, and recycling

Identify the best options for disposing of what's left

## **Process for Plan Development and Execution**







How do we go about analyzing the City's waste flows in order to understand how to reduce waste generation and divert more material from disposal?

- Understand waste flows and materials
- Look at what options are available and would be supported by residents and other stakeholders
- Objectively assess different options in terms of expected performance



### How do we go about analyzing the City's waste flows in order to understand how to reduce waste generation and divert more material from disposal?

## Understand waste flows and materials

- → Look at what options are available and would be supported by residents and other stakeholders
- Objectively assess different options in terms of expected performance

## Waste Flows in Baltimore City





## Estimated Quantities of Materials (2017-19 data)



Category	Residential Waste (tons)	Commercial Waste (tons)	Total (tons)
Total Disposal	319,500	505,100	824,600
Food and Other Compostables	101,700	61,500	163,200
Cardboard	24,600	32,400	57,000
"Easy-to-Recycle" Materials	34,000	28,600	62,600
"Hard-to-Recycle" Materials	73,900	47,400	121,300
Lumber	2,400	22,000	24,400
Other Mixed C&D Waste	3,100	261,200	264,300
Bulky Waste, Mattresses, Carpets	2,800	2,900	5,700
Unclassified (Disposal)	77,000	49,300	126,300



## How do we go about analyzing the City's waste flows in order to understand how to reduce waste generation and divert more material from disposal?

## → Understand waste flows and materials

- Look at what options are available and would be supported by residents and other stakeholders
- Objectively assess different options in terms of expected performance

## Stakeholders Invited to Participate



- Anchor Institutions
- Businesses
- Community organizers/leaders
- Economic development partnerships
- Elected officials
- Environmental protection groups

- Residents
- Other City agencies/partnerships
- Port Authority
- Schools
- Students
- Waste management companies

## **Public Input**







## **Benchmarking – Learning from Other Cities**





## How do we go about analyzing the City's waste flows in order to understand how to reduce waste generation and divert more material from disposal?

- Understand waste flows and materials
- → Look at what options are available and would be supported by residents and other stakeholders
- Objectively assess different options in terms of expected performance

## **Baltimore City's Strategic Plans**



## The 2019 Baltimore Sustainability Plan

Zero waste goal of 90% diversion Increase recycling Reduce litter Legislative and policy changes Waste-to-Wealth Initiative:

- Food waste
- C&D waste
- Wood

BALTIMORE FOOD Waste & Recovery Strategy

#### Goals for 2040

- 50% food waste reduction
- 80-90% diversion of food waste from disposal to composting and digestion

2018





## Methodology for Assessment





<u>Waste Diversion Potential:</u> Total tonnage Materials Interaction with Other Options



Benefits: Social/Environmental Greenhouse Gas Emissions Job Creation Revenue/Cost Offsets



<u>Costs:</u> Capital Operation and Maintenance Labor



<u>Challenges to Implementation:</u> Permits Infrastructure and Land Required Training



<u>Timeline:</u> Short – Medium – Long Term Time Lag before Seeing Benefits



Experience: DPW's Experience Local Private Sector Experience Other Jurisdictions

## Analysis of Waste Recycling/Diversion Options Task 5 of LWBB Plan



- Draft Report Submitted to DPW on 30 Oct.
  - Chapters 1 and 2 Basis for Evaluation
  - Chapter 3 Food Waste and Organics
  - Chapter 4 "Traditional" Recyclables
  - Chapter 5 Construction and Demolition Waste
  - Chapter 6 "Non-Traditional" Recyclables
  - Chapter 7 Multi-Purpose Facilities
  - Chapter 8 "Soft" Infrastructure
  - Chapter 9 Operational and Administrative Improvements
  - Chapter 10 Summary of Findings

#### Ch. 2 – Assessment of Overall Divertability DRAFT FINDINGS ONLY



17



#### Ch. 10 – Maximum Diversion Potential (MDP) DRAFT FINDINGS ONLY



Chantar	Description	Universal	Food Wast	te Strategy	C&D Waste Strategy	
Chapter	Description	Application	А	В	А	В
3.1	Food Waste Reduction		-	72,400		
3.2	Residential Organics Composting		81,800	42,800		
3.3	<b>Commercial Organics Composting</b>		59,200	35,500		
4	Traditional Recyclables	153,500				
5.1	C&D Reuse				-	28,400
5.2	C&D Diversion				216,900	200,100
6.1	Bulk Waste	4,000				
7.1	Expand Residents' Drop-offs	16,100				
10	Subtotal	173,600	141,000	150,700	216,900	228.5k

Available Diversion = Universal + A/B from Food Waste Strategy + A/B from C&D Waste Strategy Maximum Diversion Potential (MDP) Highlighted in Red = 173.6k + 150.7k + 228.5k = 552.8k tons Achieving MDP would increase overall waste diversion to 83% (552.8k + 738.5k + 78.7k / 1641.8k = 0.83)

#### Ch. 10 – Direct Cost and Benefits (for MDP) DRAFT FINDINGS ONLY



Chapter	Description	CAPEX \$/ton		OPEX <sup>A</sup> \$/ton		Job Creation Potential		GHG Reduction
		DPW	Total	DPW	Total	DPW	Others	INITCOZE
3.1	Food Waste Reduction		\$687	\$65	\$3,415	3	not calc.	305,000
3.2	Residential Organics	\$166	\$415	\$146	\$230		40 <sup>c</sup>	4,500
3.3	Commercial Organics		\$331	\$8	\$197	3	70 <sup>C</sup>	800
4	Traditional Recyclables	\$128	\$236	\$120	\$184	4	120 <sup>c</sup>	349,700
5.1	C&D Reuse			\$5	\$5 <sup>в</sup>	3	<sup>B</sup>	25,000
5.2	C&D Diversion		\$103		\$91 <sup>B</sup>		30 <sup>B,C</sup>	32,700
6.1	Bulk Waste			\$12	\$12 <sup>B</sup>	6	6 <sup>B,C</sup>	11,400
7.1	Resid. Drop-offs	\$12	\$12	\$22	\$22	6	6 <sup>c</sup>	25,800
10	All	\$262 (wei	ghted avg.)	\$563 (weighted avg.)		25	~270	754,900

A: excl. revenues from sale of recovered materials, tip fees; B: excl. secondary job creation; C: excl. job losses at disposal facilities

#### Ch. 10 – Timeline and Phasing (for MDP) DRAFT FINDINGS ONLY



Chapter	Diversion Option	Timeframe (years)	Diversion Potential (tons)
3.1	FW Red.	20	0k or 72.4k
3.2	Resid. Org.	20	81.8k or 42.8k
3.3	Comm. Org.	20	59.2k or 35.5k
4.2	Trad. Recyc.	10	153.5k
5.1	C&D Reuse	10	0k or 28.4k
5.2	C&D Div.	20	216.9k or 200.2k
6.1	Bulk Waste	10	4k
7.1	Res. Dropoff	5	16.1k

Timeframe for achieving Max. Diversion Potential (MDP) for each Option



#### Assumed S-Curve Uptake Rate for each Option

# Ch. 10 – Range of Diversion Outcomes





Range of Potential Diversion Rates (depending on when each option starts and how successful it is)

# Ch. 10 – What's Left for Disposal under MDP





Historical and Projected Waste Generation

(growth projection = 0.7% per year)

What's Left for Disposal (assuming the City achieves MDP by 2040) (assuming 0.7% annual growth in all waste streams)

#### Ch. 10 – Range of Disposal Outcomes (Citywide) DRAFT FINDINGS ONLY



#### Looking forward to Task 7: What does this mean for the City's disposal needs?

MDP	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
0%	463,700	<del>479,700</del>	496,200	<del>-513,209</del>	530,800
20%	463,200	462,100	453,600	465,900	483,100
40%	462,600	444,600	410,700	413,900	428,600
60%	462,100	427,200	367,400	357,000	367,300
80%	461,600	409,900	323,700	295,200	299,200
100%	461,000	392,700	279,500	228,700	224,400

**Expected MSW Disposal Tonnages under Various Diversion Rates as a Percentage of the MDP** (0% represents Status Quo)

MDP	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
0%	249,800	<del>-258,400</del>	267,200	<del>- 276,400</del>	285,900
20%	249,400	251,000	241,200	225,000	226,000
40%	249,000	243,500	215,500	178,400	172,700
60%	248,500	236,000	190,300	136,600	126,300
80%	248,100	228,300	165,500	99,600	86,600
100%	247,700	220,500	141,000	67,500	53,700

**Expected C&D Disposal Tonnages under Various Diversion Rates as a Percentage of the MDP** (0% represents Status Quo)

#### Ch. 10 – Range of Outcomes for DPW DRAFT FINDINGS ONLY



#### What does this mean for DPW's collection and disposal needs?

MDP	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
0%	31,600	32,700	33,800	34,900	36,100
20%	31,900	43,400	60,300	69,100	71,800
40%	32,300	54,100	86,700	103,200	107,400
60%	32,600	64,900	113,200	137,300	143,000
80%	33,000	75,600	139,700	171,500	178,600
100%	33,400	86,400	166,200	205,600	214,300

RECYCLING + ORGANICS Expected DPW curbside collection (tons) under Various Diversion Rates as a Percentage of the MDP (0% represents Status Quo)

MDP	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
0%	271,700	281,000	290,700	300,700	311,000
20%	271,300	270,300	264,200	266,600	275,400
40%	271,000	259,600	237,700	232,400	239,800
60%	270,600	248,800	211,300	198,300	204,200
80%	270,300	238,100	184,800	164,100	168,500
100%	269,900	227,300	158,300	130,000	132,900

#### **TRASH FOR DISPOSAL**

Expected DPW curbside trash collection (tons) under Various Diversion Rates as a Percentage of the MDP (0% represents Status Quo)

## Thank You





## Less Waste, Better Baltimore

Rethinking our waste management future

publicworks.baltimorecity.gov/lesswaste